IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1576 OF 2005

Viral Ajmera ... Applicant

versus

State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.A.V.Chatuphale, for the Applicant.

Ms.P.H. Kantharia, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

9th March 2005

P.C.:

. This application for modification of condition imposed by the lower Court while granting interim protection to the Applicant is, in my opinion, ill-advised. For, it is not in dispute that the anticipatory bail application is still pending consideration before the lower Court. That has been adjourned from time to time since February 2005. The offence in question is

a serious offence. The lower Court, instead, will be well-advised to dispose of the present application as expeditiously as possible.

. At this stage, Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant be permitted to withdraw this application. This application is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid observation. It is made clear that I have not examined the grievance of the prosecution that the Applicant has not complied with the direction issued by the lower Court. That aspect be considered by the appropriate Court while considering the anticipatory bail application.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1578 OF 2005

R.C. Thakkar ... Applicant

versus

State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.A.V.Chatuphale, for the Applicant.

Ms.P.H. Kantharia, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

9th March 2005

P.C.:

. This application for modification of condition imposed by the lower Court while granting interim protection to the Applicant is, in my opinion, ill-advised. For, it is not in dispute that the anticipatory bail application is still pending consideration before the lower Court. That has been adjourned from time to time since February 2005. The offence in question is a serious offence. The lower Court, instead,

will be well-advised to dispose of the present application as expeditiously as possible.

. At this stage, Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant be permitted to withdraw this application. This application is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid observation. It is made clear that I have not examined the grievance of the prosecution that the Applicant has not complied with the direction issued by the lower Court. That aspect be considered by the appropriate Court while considering the anticipatory bail application.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1579 OF 2005

Samiksha Chauhan ... Applicant

versus

State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.A.V.Chatuphale, for the Applicant.

Ms.P.H. Kantharia, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

9th March 2005

P.C.:

. This application for modification of condition imposed by the lower Court while granting interim protection to the Applicant is, in my opinion, ill-advised. For, it is not in dispute that the anticipatory bail application is still pending consideration before the lower Court. That has been adjourned from time to time since February 2005. The offence in question is a serious offence. The lower Court, instead,

will be well-advised to dispose of the present application as expeditiously as possible.

. At this stage, Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant be permitted to withdraw this application. This application is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid observation. It is made clear that I have not examined the grievance of the prosecution that the Applicant has not complied with the direction issued by the lower Court. That aspect be considered by the appropriate Court while considering the anticipatory bail application.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1580 OF 2005

Gopal Kharva ... Applicant

versus

State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.A.V.Chatuphale, for the Applicant.

Ms.P.H. Kantharia, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

9th March 2005

P.C.:

. This application for modification of condition imposed by the lower Court while granting interim protection to the Applicant is, in my opinion, ill-advised. For, it is not in dispute that the anticipatory bail application is still pending consideration before the lower Court. That has been adjourned from time to time since February 2005. The offence in question is a serious offence. The lower Court, instead,

will be well-advised to dispose of the present application as expeditiously as possible.

. At this stage, Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant be permitted to withdraw this application. This application is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid observation. It is made clear that I have not examined the grievance of the prosecution that the Applicant has not complied with the direction issued by the lower Court. That aspect be considered by the appropriate Court while considering the anticipatory bail application.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)